Wireless-LAN: a Complement to 3G for Wireless Access

Christian Bergljung Telia Research AB, Sweden Christian.S.Bergljung@telia.se



What is WLAN?

- WLAN = Wireless Local Area Network
- The 2.4 and 5 GHz bands
- WLAN as a complement to 2.5G and 3G not a competitor
 - Comparison of standards: ETSI HiperLAN2 better than IEEE 802.11
 - Getting a 455 MHz allocation in the 5 GHz band
- Interworking with 2.5 and 3G systems



Relation to other wireless access systems



User Bitrate, Datacom services



WLAN user environments

WLAN enables wireless access in many scenarios:

- Corporate/office
- Public/cellular
- Home networks



I. Wired vs wireless access

- Variability of the radio channel
- Limited spectrum resource
- Regulatory problems
 - Licensed: one single operator, interference management
 - Unlicensed: many operators, QoS-guarantees more difficult
- High spectral efficiency required, many bps/Hz
 Efficient radio resource management, medium access control (MAC)



WLAN vs cellular

Spectral efficiency (per cell)

- WLAN: >250 kbps/MHz/cell (HiperLAN2)
- UMTS R4: 290 kbps/MHz/cell (mixed traffic)
- EGPRS: 210 kbps/MHz/cell

Channel bandwidth

- WLAN: 20 MHz
- GSM/GPRS: 200 kHz
- UMTS: depends on data rate, 4 MHz spreading bandwidth

Range

- WLAN (5 GHz): 50 m indoors, up to 200 m outdoors
- UMTS: 200 m 10 km (or higher, depends on propagation conditions and load)
- Standard GSM: max 37.5 km



WLAN vs cellular, cont'd

Data rates

- WLAN: up to 30 Mbps (user, Layer 3)
- UMTS R4: 144-384 kbps (up to 2 Mbps)
- EGPRS: up to 470 kbps

Mobility

- WLAN: "semi-mobile", walking speed
- UMTS: 120 km/h assumed in the standardisation

Spectrum

- WLAN unlicensed: many co-existing operators
- UMTS licensed



Hence...

- WLAN can improve the capacity of a wide-area cellular system in traffic hot spots
- WLAN is a complement rather than competing service
 - 3G provides range a medium data rates
 - WLAN high data rates locally
- private use, home
- 83 MHz at 2.4 GHz (unlicensed), maybe 455 MHz at 5 GHz (license exempt)
- IMT-2000: 2×60 MHz (licensed)



II. WLAN architecture: centralised vs ad-hoc







WLAN standards

Types of standards

- Interoperability: all devices compliant with the standard must be able to communicate
- Co-existence: only rules for spectrum sharing, devices has to be from a certain vendor
- IEEE 802.11: ad-hoc and "centralised functionality"
 - Wireless Ethernet
 - 802.11b in the 2.4 GHz band, 802.11a at 5 GHz
 - Many other extensions: d,e,f,g,h,i
- ETSI HiperLAN2: centralised and "direct mode"
 - Infrastructure based
 - 5 GHz band

Japanese version of HiperLAN2: ARIB HiSWANa



Multiple access: sharing the medium

- Many users access common radio resources
- TDMA, time division, time slot assigned to user (GSM)
- FDMA, frequency division, (frequency) channel assigned to user
- Spread spectrum: CDMA, code division, code assigned to user (UMTS)
- CSMA: Carrier Sense, sensing the medium
- The Medium Access Control (MAC) protocol determines who transmits and when



Introduction to the IEEE 802.11 standard: use of spread spectrum

Frequency hopping (FHSS)

- Fast hopping between frequencies according to a predefined pattern
- Interference is "avoided"
- 802.11 FHSS (2.4 GHz) and Bluetooth
- Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS)
 - Bandwidth expansion: the transmitted sequence multiplied by a faster sequence, normally 10-20 times "spreading ratio"
 - Compare also DS Code Division Multiple Access when used for <u>multiple access</u> like in UMTS

Regulatory requirement in the 2.4 GHz band



Original IEEE 802.11

• Radio in 2.4 GHz ISM band (2.400 - 2.483 GHz)

- Addresses interoperability
- Three PHY modes, all 1 and 2 Mbit/s
 - Frequency hopping (FHSS)
 - 79 channels à 1 MHz, >2.5 Hop/s, normally 50 hop/s
 - Modulation by 2GFPSK (4GFPSK): Gaussian filtered PSK
 - DSSS
 - 3 channels à 22 MHz, spreading by 11 Mbps sequence
 - Modulation by DBPSK (DQPSK): Differential PSK
 - IR
- Ad hoc architecture with possibilities for centralised access, MAC is contention protocol
- IEEE standard 1997, ISO/IEC standard 1998



Extending 802.11: TG b

- 802.11b: higher data rates in 2.4 GHz for DS
- 5.5 and 11 Mbit/s, backwards compatible with DSSS
- Complementary Code Keying (CCK): modulate 4(8) information bits onto 8 (of 11) chips, gives 5.5 (11) Mbps (PHY data rate)
- Requires higher SNIR than DSSS modes, gives smaller range but higher capacity
- Link adaptation, fall-back to more robust modes
- Spectral spreading still achieved



802.11 specifications



- Common MAC
- More PHY and MAC extensions currently being standardised



Basic MAC in IEEE 802.11

- CSMA/CA (CA = Collision Avoidance): Carrier Sense Multiple Access
- Non-real time via Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) and DCF InterFrame Space
- Real time via Point CF and PCF-IFS
- Control packets (ACK etc) via Short IFS





802.11 in the 5 GHz band: TG a

- 802.11a: <u>higher data rates</u> in 5 GHz band (UNII)
- Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM)
- Multi-carrier to combat intersymbol interference
- Frequency channel divided into orthogonal subcarriers
- 20 MHz bandwidth (16.7 MHz used)
- Link adaption from 6 54 Mbps
- Same PHY as ETSI HIPERLAN/2 and ARIB HiSWANa (Japan)



ETSI HIPERLAN Type 2

- High PErformance Radio LAN
- Short range mobile system developed by ETSI BRAN (Broadband Radio Access Networks)
- Up to 54 Mbps PHY data rate, same as 802.11a
- Designed for high capacity and QoS guarantees
- Centralised architecture with ad hoc possibility
- Designed to interwork with different core networks, IP/Ethernet, ATM, GPRS/UMTS and IEEE 1394
- Designed for interoperability



HiperLAN2, cont'd

- Effective and simple power saving mechanisms
- Spectrum sharing with other systems due to
 - DFS (Dynamic Frequency Selection)
 - TPC (Transmit Power Control) and link adaptation
- Support for mobility and security
- Most specs approved now, major parts completed by end of 2000, demo by Panasonic at CeBIT 2002

 IEEE 802.11 TG e (QoS), TG h (DFS & TPC), TG i (security), and more extensions...



HiperLAN2 basic protocol stack



- CL: mapping between higher layer and DLC connections
- DLC: error control (ARQ), radio link control (RLC)
- PHY: modulation, synchronisation and RF



HiperLAN2 MAC: frame structure

• Load adaptive TDMA/TDD (Time Division Duplex)



Comparing IEEE 802.11a with HiperLAN2

- IEEE 802.11a: wireless Ethernet
- HiperLAN2: based on a cellular concept
- Same PHY
 - 5 GHz OFDM with 20 MHz wide channels
 - 6/9/12/18/27/36/54 Mbps DLC user data per transceiver
 - BPSK/QPSK/16QAM/(64QAM) subcarrier modulation
 - Convolutional coding, block interleaving
- MAC completely different



MAC efficiency – throughput for 5 GHz WLAN



HiperLAN2 throughput independent on PHY mode: 77% .11a throughput decreases with packet size and higher PHY mode



Range and throughput for 5 GHz WLAN



HiperLAN2 has superior throughput over 802.11a



MAC efficiency – throughput for 802.11b (2.4 GHz)



No 'AP control' with Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Collision Avoidance IEEE 802.11 MAC only efficient with low PHY rates and few MTs/AP

• Same MAC as 802.11a, but different PHY



III. WLAN spectrum

- 2.4 GHz (IEEE 802.11b): 83 MHz
 - 2.400-2.483 GHz ISM band (Industrial Scientific and Medical)
 - Spectral spreading required if output power > 1 mW (to facilitate sharing of the band); FHSS and DSSS provide this!
 - 1 MHz channel size (4/5 MHz also allowed), max 100 mW EIRP
 - Co-existence: sharing with (many) Bluetooth devices may become a problem (unlicensed band)
 - Lower throughput for 802.11b (and Bluetooth)
- 2.4 GHz band may become a "trash band"
- Up to 455 MHz available in the 5 GHz band, but the (global) spectrum situation is unclear at the moment



5 GHz WLAN spectrum: regulations and restrictions

Europe (by CEPT)

- 5.15-5.35 GHz (8 ch.): 200 mW EIRP, indoor operation
- 5.47-5.725 GHz (11 ch.): 1 W EIRP (23 dBm for one channel), outdoor (and indoor)
- HIPERLAN only, DFS spread over 14 channels, TPC
- US (by FCC): the UNII bands
 - 5.15-5.25 GHz (4 ch.): 200 mW EIRP, indoor
 - 5.25-5.35 GHz (4 ch.): 1 W EIRP, outdoor
 - 5.725-5.825 GHz (4 ch., ISM): 4 W EIRP, outdoor
- Japan
 - 5.15-5.25 GHz (4 ch.): 200 mW (100 mW) EIRP
 - Carrier sense every 4 ms (impact on HiSWANa)



Current 5 GHz spectrum issues

- Europe advocates CEPT rules globally (ITU-R), "co-primary" with other services in the band
- US (FCC): keep WLAN secondary, operation on "noninterference basis"
- Sharing with radar (above 5.25 GHz) most problematic for global allocation DFS required, but functionality not specified Outdoor use may not be allowed!
- HiperLAN2 supports DFS and TPC (reduces interference)
- Goal : global harmonised band at WRC-03, DFS is the key



IV. Beyond 3G: co-operating networks

Multi-access through interworking

- (E)GPRS/UMTS wide-area coverage, HIPERLAN/2 in traffic hot spots
- Session continuity
- Interworking work item in ETSI BRAN
 - Specify the architectures and protocols of a HIPERLAN/2 network that interworks with 3G networks and also other types of networks. The work will include both the radio access part and the fixed part of the network
- Influence on 3GPP and IETF
- Work item in 3GPP



Interworking with 2.5 and 3G

Tight Interworking

Loose Interworking





V. Closing remarks

- WLAN: >20 Mbps in hot-spots, office and home
- IEEE 802.11b at 2.4 GHz
- IEEE 802.11a at 5 GHz for higher data rates
- ETSI HiperLAN2 (5 GHz) better than IEEE 802.11a, but weaker "market support"
 - 802.11b "sufficient" the next few years
- 455 MHz 5 GHz global harmonised band <u>may</u> be allocated to WLAN at WRC-03
- WLAN not a competetor to 3G... higher data rates but smaller range



WLAN Activities within Telia

- Telia Mobile is established as one of the most influential operator within the WLAN business
- Telia HomeRun, public access based on IEEE 802.11b
- Telia Research had a large influence on HiperLAN2
 - Contributions to Architecture, PHY, DLC, Ethernet CL and spectrum groups
- Telia is active in the HiperLAN2 Global Forum
 - The only operator among the founding members
- Contributed to the first steps of standardising interworking between WLANs and cellular systems
 - ETSI Technical specification on HiperLAN2-3G interworking
 - Feasibility study of WLAN-3G interworking in 3GPP



